

Attendance:

Margie Hughes
 Eric Shriver
 Carl Robertson
 Brian Lehan
 Casey Bullard

Agenda:

- Review “Golf Scores” from project meeting

Project	Scores										Total	Golf Score
Connecticut VMT	8	8	3	1	4	4	3	2	8	8	41	3
Consistency in Recordkeeping	5	1	2	4	2	1	2	1	2	2	20	1
GPS Standardization	4	3	1	3	1	3	1	3	1	1	20	1
Universal POA	7	4	6	6	8	8	8	7	8	8	62	7
Clearinghouse Red Flags	1	5	5	8	5	6	4	6	3	3	43	4
Fuel Tax Holidays	2	2	4	2	3	2	5	4	6	6	30	2
Oklahoma System	3	7	7	5	8	7	7	8	5	5	57	6
Ballot Review	6	6	8	7	8	5	6	5	4	4	55	5

- Top priorities
 - Consistency in Recordkeeping
 - We have some feedback, but not much.
 - GPS Standardization
 - We want to make a comment that the language be changed to “Every 10 min or less”
- Other comments from members:
 - E-file format
 - Already in 12 states with Explore
 - Three vendors cover 40 states (from Carl)
 - We want to push this through IFTA for a single file format
 - Carmen said he’d work with us on this.
 - Could we facilitate a discussion between those three vendors to help bring them closer together and make it easier for them?
 - We might need to go through IFTA first, and THEN to the vendors second.
 - Ideally, we’d upload straight into the clearinghouse and not have it go through the states. But there may be rules in places that would prevent that.
 - Does this cost jobs at the state level? Or help with existing hiring problems?

- We don't want to talk about the detailed specifications in terms of validation and malware
 - We don't want to talk about the security of the taxpayers.
 - We decided we'll ask Carmen to tell us how he'd like us to help out with this e-file process.
- Mileage Based User Fees
 - It seems clear that Fuel Taxes are going to go away. And be replaced by Mileage Based User Fees (MBUF).
 - Is IFTA or IRP more likely to run those processes? Are both organizations going to survive?
 - HOW is it going to work? How is it going to be administered? Every state with their own filing? Or will it stay with IFTA or IRP?
 - How can we avoid 50 different MBUFs??
 - If IFTA is more tax, and IRP is more registration – either organization would have to redefine itself to be the administrator for MBUF
 - We don't want to choose sides – we want to prevent other states from creating their own MBUFs.
 - This takes us back to the consistency in recordkeeping? They are related – but separate issues.
 - The state trucking associations are going to be key stakeholders here. We need to get them on board.
 - If Connecticut fails – it might make it more difficult for other states to implement.
 - Bob Pitcher has written about this, as have many others. Can we raise the issue with other organizations that have lobbying in their mandate and ask them to take it over? Offer to help?
 - This is our plan. Ask the states what we can do to help them address this issue, since they are lobbies and we aren't.
 - Casey to work with Carl to refine the pitch
 - Basic message, are you aware of this, does it impact your members, and can we help you fight it?
 - Casey to work with Ray to tie into membership efforts